

## Supplier Q&A

**Q: Is this RFP open to individual consultants and organisations?**

**A:** Yes, individuals, teams and organisations are all welcome to submit proposals. Where asked for an organisation name, for example in the Third Party Security Risk Assessment (TPSRA2), individuals should answer the questions they can and select “other” and or state “N/A” where they can’t.

**Note:** The TPSRA2 is now only required for successful applicants and does not need to be completed before submitting a proposal. We apologise for any inconvenience and appreciate applicants’ time spent completing this form.

**Q: Is design work required in the proposal?**

**A:** No, we would not expect the successful Supplier to execute any design work themselves, and do not require this in the proposal. Instead, the successful Supplier will be asked to deliver copy that indicates where graphics/charts would be valuable, along with draft ideas.

**Q: How would the successful Supplier interact with CLI and Wellcome?**

**A:** The successful Supplier will have key focal points at both organisations, with other colleagues at CLI and Wellcome feeding in their expertise and input as needed. For example, this will include Wellcome’s Climate and Health and Policy teams.

At Wellcome, we currently have an external consultant advising us on our Climate and Health partnerships work. While that consultant is working on separate deliverables, they will occasionally provide advice to us on this project as well.

**Q: What do you mean by ‘funder’ in the context of this RFP?**

**A:** This project is focused on enabling philanthropic funders and donor agencies to find points of entry into the climate and health field that correspond with their interests and priorities. However, the report’s predominantly grant-based pathways can include those that unlock other funding such as those from private organisations and development finance institutions.

Wellcome and CLI will work with the successful Supplier to determine the appropriate emphasis on other funding types to effectively produce and manage the pathways.

**Q: Is there a specific geographic remit or priority countries for this project?**

**A:** We would advise applicants to approach their proposals with a global view, though we welcome illustrative suggestions as to how these translate to regional and local contexts.

**Q: The RFP mentions the organisation of a workshop. Should we include details about how we would organise it and provide an estimated budget?**

**A:** The Supplier should consult with a diverse range of stakeholders to understand the available science and identify pathways to meaningful action, through individual conversations. The workshop noted in the RFP refers to bringing together a smaller group of key stakeholders to provide expert

review on the Supplier's early findings. This could take the form of a workshop or other format, but whichever format applicants choose, we ask that you please describe this in your proposal.

**Q: Do you have a specific timeframe for the pathways and solutions?**

**A:** This project is intended to mobilise action based on the evidence available now (though evidence generation to address specific gaps may emerge as a pathway). As such, we are interested in a 5-10 year timeframe for the pathways and solutions that the Supplier identifies.