



## QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

### Request for Proposal (RFP): Climate Impact Awards Evaluation

version to Question 7 updated

#	Supplier Question	Wellcome response
1	<p><b>Budget</b></p> <p>What is the overall budget envelope allocated to the scope of work outlined?</p> <p>Should the budget for establishing and maintaining elements of the MEL system be included in our fees (e.g., data collection, dashboard maintenance etc), or would those tasks be taken on internally by Wellcome teams?</p> <p>Should we include the travel cost for the supplier team in the fees, or should those be invoiced at cost?</p> <p>Is there a preferred structure for the cost breakdown in the full proposal, and is there a hard budget limit?</p> <p>Could you provide any further detail regarding the anticipated budget envelope?</p> <p>Are there any specific guidelines or requirements for travel, should this be considered in the budget at this stage?</p>	<p>We are not setting a specific budget externally at this point, but value for money is a consideration in our evaluation of proposals.</p> <p>Wellcome activities will be covered internally. This will include collecting and supplying primary data on the applications and providing information from the internal dashboards we use.</p> <p>Suppliers should provide a breakdown of costs per annum over the course of the project and should consider any potential additional costs such as travel costs.</p> <p>We are not setting a specific budget externally at this point, but value for money is a consideration in our evaluation of proposals.</p> <p>We are not setting a specific budget externally at this point, but value for money is a consideration in our evaluation of proposals.</p> <p>Suppliers should provide a breakdown of costs per annum over the course of the project and should consider any potential additional costs such as travel costs.</p>

#	Supplier Question	Wellcome response
	<p>Do you have a specific budget range in place for 2025 and/or the three years of the project?</p> <p>Are you able to give an indicative budget for the activities, if not for the whole contract then at least for Year 1?</p> <p>What is the estimated budget range for this project? This will help us refine our approach.</p> <p>Regarding the calculation/presentation of proposals and financial offer in a further step:  - Could you please indicate how you will evaluate the technical offer?  -Could you please indicate how you would like the budget breakdown to be presented/evaluated (Lumpsum per project evaluation, number of WDs per project evaluation, etc.)</p>	<p>We are not setting a specific budget externally at this point, but value for money is a consideration in our evaluation of proposals.</p> <p>We are not setting a specific budget externally at this point, but value for money is a consideration in our evaluation of proposals.</p> <p>We are not setting a specific budget externally at this point, but value for money is a consideration in our evaluation of proposals.</p> <p>The criteria is identified in the <a href="#">RFP</a>.</p> <p>We do not have a preference for how the budget is presented.</p>
2	<p><b>Consultant profile</b></p> <p>Is Wellcome envisioning the consultant to serve as a strategic learning partner or a strict M&amp;E partner?</p> <p>Does Wellcome have an incoming preference for an individual consultant vs. a firm to execute on this work?</p> <p>Does the team need to be based in the UK, or are you accepting proposals from other geographies? Associated, could one team member be based abroad (if others are in the UK?), and can the work be conducted remotely?</p>	<p>We envisage the Supplier to serve as a strategic learning partner.</p> <p>We expect a firm to be better placed to deliver across this programme. However, individual consultants/consortia will be considered, as long as they cover the full range of skills needed to deliver this project.</p> <p>We are open to accepting proposals with team members based outside of the UK.</p> <p>We expect a firm to be better placed to deliver across this programme. However, individual consultants/consortia will be</p>

#	Supplier Question	Wellcome response
	Are you considering applications from sole traders (i.e. one individual, not a team)?	considered, as long as they cover the full range of skills needed to deliver this project.
3	Do we need to submit the information requested in the RFP at the pre-proposal stage or at the proposal stage? And, should this plan cover the entire evaluation period (2025-2031) or just the first year? (i.e. are you issuing a 1-year contract renewable dependent on progress, or multi-year in the first instance?)	This is to be submitted at proposal stage, and should be for the full period not one year.
4	Regarding the process, how many proposals are received each year? The guidance document says suppliers are asked to 'analyse the 300+ proposals submitted to the scheme.' Is this per year or over the lifecycle of the programme?	In the 2023 Climate Impacts Awards, we received 100+ proposals. In the 2024 Climate Impacts Awards, we received 200+ proposals. We do not know how many proposals we will receive for future rounds of the awards.
5	<b>Communications</b> Do you expect suppliers to support communications, internal and / or external? If yes, can you give guidance as to the type of comms outputs you envisage.	We do not expect the Supplier to support communications, beyond standards reports and presentations.
6	<b>Stakeholder engagement</b> Are there any specific groups Wellcome considers essential for inclusion in the Climate Impact Awards beyond applicants from lower- or middle-income countries? (E.g., women, marginalised ethnic groups, particular geographies, etc)	Please refer to the <a href="#">scheme page</a> for information on key stakeholders in the Climate Impacts Awards. These include policymakers, impacted communities and communications experts.
7	<b>Project scope and objectives</b> Please can you provide details on the existing MEL system used for the Climate Impacts Awards (e.g., MEL/evaluation framework, process, tools used etc)? We would like to understand the level of refining current tools vs building from scratch to inform our methodology. For example, does Wellcome have a Theory of Change already in place for its Climate Impacts Awards? How do project-specific	We have a high-level Theory of Change which we anticipate being refined as a result of this work. Project-level theories of change should articulate how they deliver on the outcomes that are defined in our own Theory of Change of the programme. In terms of monitoring, Wellcome grantees are required to report on their awards on an annual basis using a standard format available on our website ( <a href="#">see here</a> ). We also have annual post-

#	Supplier Question	Wellcome response
	<p>theories of change developed by applicants and awardees roll into Wellcome’s overall Theory of Change for the Awards?</p> <p>Does Wellcome have a definition of what ‘fit for purpose’ entails in the second listed objective: “To evaluate the process of the Climate Impacts Awards to determine whether it is <i>fit for purpose</i> for the intended outcomes”. We are happy to work with Wellcome to ensure appropriate criteria are used to determine whether the Climate Impacts Awards are ‘fit for purpose’ but want to clarify whether these criteria have already been defined by Wellcome. Who is the audience for the evaluation findings and sharing learnings? Would Dalberg be expected to create a community of practice for learnings amongst award winners or is this only an internally focussed effort?</p> <p>Are the results from this evaluation expected to influence Climate Impact Awards only, or other awards that Wellcome develops and/or those of other funding partners?</p> <p>Are there any aspects of the Climate Impacts Awards process that should not be evaluated? (E.g., composition / membership of the advisory committee)</p> <p>Should the evaluation of the Climate Impact Awards process include comparative analysis of processes used by other social impact award schemes and impact generated?</p> <p>Should the evaluation of the Climate Impact Awards include an assessment of support provided to applicants prior to the award, during the application process?</p>	<p>award surveys and workshops with the assessment teams. We do not have current evaluation mechanisms which include grantees or applicants.</p> <p>These criteria have not been defined yet. This is an internally focused effort. We have other activities in order to engage the cohort.</p> <p>The results are primarily expected to influence the future rounds of the Climate Impacts Awards. However, these learnings will inevitably be of use to inform our wider programmes and partnership with other funders.</p> <p>The full scope of the evaluation programme will be co-developed with the chosen Supplier and Wellcome.</p> <p>This can be a component of the evaluation programme.</p> <p>We only provide applicants with the scheme page information, access to webinars and direct email queries, all of which are in scope to evaluate.</p>

#	Supplier Question	Wellcome response
	<p>Is the consultant expected to evaluate or support the process and/or impact of the grantee convenings/webinars/grantee virtual meetings?</p> <p>The Climate Impacts Awards scheme finish in 2027, but Wellcome are looking for the evaluation programme to run until 2031. We assume post 2027, the ongoing evaluations will focus on the impact of the awards given the scheme has closed to new applicants. Is this correct?</p>	<p>We are looking for an evaluation of the Climate Impacts Awards processes, which includes grantee engagement.</p> <p>Yes, this is correct. The expected last round of the Climate Impacts Awards will be launched in 2027, and therefore the final projects would run until 2031. <b>This contract is for three years only.</b></p>
8	<p><b>Deliverables and reporting:</b> Does Wellcome envision sharing evaluation results internally only, or also with awardees and/or other funding partners?</p> <p>How frequently does Wellcome want evaluations of the Climate Impacts Awards process and impact? Would you prefer an annual review and learning cycle, or are semi-annual / quarterly reviews and learning cycles?</p> <p>How often does the Wellcome team expect formal updates from the consultants, and what level of detail is expected as part of these updates? Does Wellcome have a preferred format for these updates?</p> <p>How many team members from Wellcome will be primary points of contact for this work, and across which teams/divisions?</p> <p>Which other stakeholders beyond primary points of contact should we plan to engage across Wellcome as part of this evaluation?</p>	<p>The results are primarily expected to influence the future rounds of the Climate Impacts Awards. However, these learnings will inevitably be of use to inform our wider programmes and partnership with other funders.</p> <p>We do not have a preferred frequency at this stage. These will be co-developed between the Supplier and Wellcome at the beginning of the grant.</p> <p>We do not have a preferred frequency or format. These will be co-developed between the Supplier and Wellcome at the beginning of the grant.</p> <p>While there will be one primary point of contact from the Climate and Health team, there will be more Wellcome members part of the project, including from the policy, engagement and digital teams.</p> <p>Potential stakeholders are delineated in the <a href="#">RFP</a>.</p>

#	Supplier Question	Wellcome response
9	<p><b>Data access</b>            What types of analysis has the Data &amp; Digital team at Wellcome already done in relation to the Climate Impacts Awards? What type of data has been collected to date?</p> <p>Will Wellcome provide all existing data in pre-processed formats, or should the evaluator account for data cleaning in the methodology?</p> <p>What analysis / insight / monitoring (if any) has already been done by Wellcome teams?</p>	<p>The Data &amp; Digital team do not have in-depth analysis on this scheme data at the moment - mostly core grant scheme data about applications and awards (institution, geography, EDI data, previously funded etc.) used for scheme monitoring. If needed as part of the project, the Data &amp; Digital team have the tools and capacity to supplement this with additional internal and external data. The Climate &amp; Health team have done some analysis on the different climate pathways and health outcomes of the proposals.</p> <p>It will be provided in pre-processed formats. We do not expect the Supplier to conduct data cleaning.</p> <p>The Data &amp; Digital team do not have in-depth analysis on this scheme data at the moment - mostly core grant scheme data about applications and awards (institution, geography, EDI data, previously funded etc.) used for scheme monitoring. If needed as part of the project, the Data &amp; Digital team have the tools and capacity to supplement this with additional internal and external data. The Climate &amp; Health team have done some analysis on the different climate pathways and health outcomes of the proposals.</p>
10	<p><b>Methodology</b>            Are there specific frameworks or methodologies that is preferred for qualitative and quantitative analysis?</p> <p>Any particular methodologies preferred for analysing Theories of Change?</p> <p>Should development of the M&amp;E approach include an approach to organizational learning/ dissemination of findings? Will any engagements be in-person?</p>	<p>No. Specific frameworks and methodologies should be justified by the Suppliers.</p> <p>No. Specific frameworks and methodologies should be justified by the Suppliers.</p> <p>Yes, it can include this. The Supplier would be expected to visit a sample of grantees.</p>

#	Supplier Question	Wellcome response
11	<p><b>Risks</b> Are there known risks or challenges from Wellcome's perspective that the supplier should anticipate when planning?</p>	This will be discussed with the chosen Supplier.
12	Given challenges around attribution in terms of climate and health, will Wellcome provide any guidance to successful applicants for measuring impact on the ground?	Specific frameworks and methodologies should be justified by the Suppliers. Approaches chosen will be co-developed with Wellcome based on our expertise in this area.
13	What is Wellcome's attitude towards the use of Generative AI and tools?	Please see Wellcome's <a href="#">joint statement</a> on the use of generative AI tools in funding applications and assessment.
14	<p><b>Programme Resource</b></p> <p>Will it be possible to interview stakeholders beyond those listed on page 5, particularly stakeholders who are 'external/ to the Climate Impact Awards (e.g. national policy-makers) to assess impact? Would Wellcome Trust connect us with external stakeholders, or will we need to leverage our own networks to identify relevant stakeholders?</p> <p>Noting a mixture of grants in Round 1 in terms of geographical coverage, would any in-person data collection be expected?</p> <p>Any country visits required to ground-truth impacts arising from the research projects? Is this entirely 'desk-based' or will visits be expected?</p> <p>Will there be in-person engagements with grantees or stakeholders outside the UK? This will help inform the budgeting process.</p> <p>Is a field visit to the different projects necessary? And if so, which geographic areas would they be.</p>	<p>It is possible to include interviews with other stakeholders, identified from both the Supplier's network and Wellcome's own network.</p> <p>The Supplier would be expected to visit a sample of grantees.</p> <p>The Supplier would be expected to visit a sample of grantees.</p> <p>The Supplier would be expected to visit a sample of grantees.</p> <p>The Supplier would be expected to visit a sample of grantees. Please see <a href="#">here</a> the projects that were funded through the 2023 Climate Impacts Awards. The projects funded through the 2024 Climate Impacts Awards will be added soon. Selected</p>

#	Supplier Question	Wellcome response
		Suppliers will get access to team data for each awarded project.
15	Is there an emphasis on certain themes within the evaluation, given the LMIC focus?	No themes beyond what is stated in the <a href="#">RFP</a> .
16	The RfP asks for 'a Supplier to co-develop and deliver a monitoring, evaluation and learning process for the Climate Impacts Awards'. We are interested to understand how Wellcome would see co-development working in this instance as evaluation – for example, is a fully developmental evaluation approach required or the principles of developmental evaluation recognising the challenges that can be encountered in co-development in evaluation where the evaluator should remain as independent as possible?	We expect to co-develop parameters for the evaluation and not the results and recommendations.
17	It would be helpful to understand the extent to which grantees are expected to cooperate with the evaluation (for example is it a condition of grant award that they do so?). Additionally, it would also be useful to understand the extent unsuccessful applicants might be expected to cooperate.	Although, there is no condition for applicants to cooperate in the evaluation, experience indicates that applicants and grantees are usually willing to participate in evaluations.
18	It would be useful to understand what monitoring data of selected award recipients is collected, and how regularly and for how long (e.g., ongoing monitoring or end-of-project reporting)? Could you confirm whether such project monitoring data will be made available to the evaluation team?	Wellcome grantees are required to report on their awards on an annual basis using a standard format available on our website (see <a href="#">here</a> ). They are also informally assessed through ad hoc interactions with C&H staff.
19	<p><b>Metrics</b> Are any SOPs or metrics applied for organisations receiving Climate Impact Awards?</p> <p>Have any indicators / metrics of impact already been defined by projects (as part of application) or by Wellcome</p>	<p>Organisations must comply Wellcome's <a href="#">grant conditions</a>.</p> <p>Wellcome grantees are required to report on their awards on an annual basis using a standard format available on our website</p>



#	Supplier Question	Wellcome response
	<p>(as part of the programme design)? If yes, are there provisions in place for projects to monitor and report on these?</p> <p>Are there specific metrics or standards for EDI/GEDSI to be incorporated into the evaluation approach?</p> <p>Do you already have specific impact measures/KPIs you would like the funded teams assessed against or would you like developed as part of this project?</p> <p>Evaluation Metrics: Could you outline the key performance indicators or metrics to be used for assessing project success?</p>	<p>(see <a href="#">here</a>). They are also informally assessed through ad hoc interactions with C&amp;H staff.</p> <p>We will share Wellcome's EDI framework with the chosen Supplier.</p> <p>We would like this co-developed with Wellcome and the chosen Supplier.</p> <p>We would like this co-developed with Wellcome and the chosen Supplier.</p>
20	<p><b>Contracting</b></p> <p>Are there any additional contractual documents other than the General T&amp;Cs to be aware of?</p>	<p>Suppliers submitting proposals as a registered company should review Wellcome's Standard Terms and Conditions <a href="#">Wellcome General Terms and Conditions.pdf</a></p> <p>Individuals submitting proposals as a sole trader (not registered) should review this document <a href="#">Wellcome-Consultancy-Agreement-individual.pdf</a></p>
21	<p><b>Proposal</b></p> <p>Could you please confirm whether the word count is inclusive or exclusive of diagrams/tables?</p> <p>Is it acceptable to submit this as a slide deck rather than a word document?</p>	<p>Exclusive of diagrams/tables.</p> <p>This is acceptable.</p>
22	<p><b>Timeline/ Contract duration</b></p> <p>The evaluation scope appears to cover the 2023 cohort until the 2025 cohort, but the timeline is not clear. Could</p>	

#	Supplier Question	Wellcome response
	<p>you please clarify the expected duration of the evaluation contract?</p> <p>We note the contract commences in April 2025, which aligns with the Y3 application due date. Do you have a proposed timeline/duration by which you would like the key outputs delivered in 2025?</p> <p>Linked to the timeline shared in the RfP, are there any timebound milestones that the Wellcome Trust would like the proposal to account for, particularly for work completed in 2025?</p> <p>We would like to confirm our understanding that this project contract is for three years - with initial analysis, recommendations, processes established in 2025, and ongoing analysis support in 2026 and 2027, is this correct?</p> <p>The RFP mentions activities it would like completed 'in the first year' does this mean within 2025, or by end of March 2026?</p> <p>Could you clarify the duration of this contract/programme of work? Page 3 refers to a "multi-year evaluation programme (2025-2031)" and implies a seven-year contract, whereas page 4 suggests a three-year contract ("the duration of the programme will be three years").</p> <p>In what timeline would Wellcome like the initial first-year evaluation completed? Are there any deadlines / milestones we should keep in mind?</p> <p>Does Wellcome expect the consultants to be present on a full-time basis for the full duration of the evaluation or can team pauses be embedded in the proposed project plan?</p>	<p>At this stage, we are looking for a Supplier to submit a plan for a multi-year evaluation for the 5 expected rounds of the Climate Impacts Awards (which began in 2023).</p> <p>We would expect initial findings/insights by early Autumn 2025, to align with our planning for Year 4 of the Climate Impacts Awards.</p> <p>We would expect initial findings/insights by early Autumn 2025, to align with our planning for Year 4 of the Climate Impacts Awards.</p> <p>Yes, this is correct.</p> <p>We would expect initial findings/insights by early Autumn 2025, to align with our planning for Year 4 of the Climate Impacts Awards.</p> <p>At this stage, we are looking for a Supplier to submit a plan for a multi-year evaluation for the 5 expected rounds of the Climate Impacts Awards (which began in 2023).</p> <p>We would expect initial findings/insights by early Autumn 2025, to align with our planning for Year 4 of the Climate Impacts Awards.</p>

#	Supplier Question	Wellcome response
	<p>Are there any hard deadlines or milestones that should be considered for the evaluation beyond those listed in the timeline provided (e.g. Board meetings, etc.)?</p> <p>Can you confirm the length of the programme? On page 3 of the guidance document the ask is for suppliers to 'submit a description of their plans and approach to design and deliver a cost-effective, multi-year evaluation programme (2025-2031).' However, on page 4 the duration of the programme is specified as three years.</p>	<p>Team pauses can be embedded in the proposed project plan.</p> <p>We would expect initial findings/insights by early Autumn 2025, to align with our planning for Year 4 of the Climate Impacts Awards.</p> <p>At this stage, we are looking for a Supplier to submit a plan for a multi-year evaluation for the 5 expected rounds of the Climate Impacts Awards (which began in 2023).</p>
23	<p><b>Theory of Change</b></p> <p>Does Wellcome have a Theory of Change (ToC) at the CIA level, which you could share with applicants?</p> <p>Has the Wellcome Trust or the Climate &amp; Health team developed an existing there a Theory of Change for the programme, beyond what is public on the scheme webpage?</p> <p>Has a programme-level “pathway to change” been developed, and will these be made available to the project team?</p> <p>Has a Theory of Change been developed for the Climate Impacts Awards that could be used to assess short-term expected impacts/ pathway to change and longer-term impacts/ pathways to change or should we expect to develop this as part of our MEL approach?</p>	<p>We have a high-level Theory of Change for the Climate Impacts Awards, which will share with the chosen Supplier and which we anticipate being refined as a result of this work.</p> <p>We have a high-level Theory of Change for the Climate Impacts Awards, which will share with the chosen Supplier and which we anticipate being refined as a result of this work.</p> <p>We have a high-level Theory of Change for the Climate Impacts Awards, which will share with the chosen Supplier and which we anticipate being refined as a result of this work.</p> <p>We have a high-level Theory of Change for the Climate Impacts Awards, which will share with the chosen Supplier and which we anticipate being refined as a result of this work.</p>

#	Supplier Question	Wellcome response
	<p>Is there an existing Theory of Change for the Climate Impact Awards Programme, or should this be developed as part of the evaluation scope?</p> <p>Does the Climate Impact Awards program have a theory of change or strategy that articulates a desired outcome(s)?</p>	<p>We have a high-level Theory of Change for the Climate Impacts Awards, which will share with the chosen Supplier and which we anticipate being refined as a result of this work.</p> <p>We have a high-level Theory of Change for the Climate Impacts Awards, which will share with the chosen Supplier and which we anticipate being refined as a result of this work.</p>
24	<p>Can Wellcome clarify the level of assessment expected for the impact evaluation? Is it primarily at the overall programme level or need detailed assessment at a more granular level, e.g. annual cohorts and individual projects?</p>	<p>Overall programme level.</p>
25	<p><b>Reporting Obligations/ Engagement with Wellcome</b> Does Wellcome have a preference for the frequency of meetings/progress updates between the Supplier and Wellcome?</p> <p>What are the expected reporting requirements during and after the project's implementation?</p> <p>How will the contract be managed from the Wellcome side? Where will the contract management sit, within the Climate and Health team? How will the evaluators interact with the data and digital team?</p> <p>Could you elaborate on expectations for deliverables? The RfP suggests a need to arrange regular catchups to inform Wellcome of insights from the work as it progresses- is it also expected that we would submit written reports, slide decks, etc.?</p> <p>For non-UK suppliers, are periodic in-person engagements in London or other locations expected?</p>	<p>We usually discuss this at the initial kick off meeting subject to agreement.</p> <p>We expect this reporting structure to be developed between Supplier and Wellcome at the beginning of the grant.</p> <p>Contract management will sit with the Climate and Health team.</p> <p>Deliverables may include a report or slide deck.</p> <p>This is not expected but could be part of the process if the Supplier and Wellcome deem necessary.</p>

#	Supplier Question	Wellcome response
26	Do you maintain a database of past applicants that can be contacted to share their views (beyond just the funded teams)? Or would you just be looking to gather feedback from the 2025 onwards rounds of applicants?	We have a database with past applicants.
27	What is the average number of applicants per year and/or total applicants to the programme since it began? This will support understanding of a representative sample to engage with.	In the 2023 Climate Impacts Awards, we received 100+ proposals. In the 2024 Climate Impacts Awards, we received 200+ proposals.
28	"Assess how the Climate Impacts Awards' process has impacted different teams across Wellcome." - by this do you mean assessing ways of working/resource implications OR how the research outcomes of the awards can support the wider work of the Trust?	It is a combination of these two factors.
29	<p><b>Deliverables</b> Beyond the "initial report synthesising findings and providing recommendations", which is due in the first year, are additional deliverables (e.g., dissemination workshops) expected?</p> <p>Is there a preferred timeline within the first year for submission of the initial report?</p>	<p>Dissemination workshops can be a part of deliverables; however they are not a requirement.</p> <p>We would expect initial findings/insights by early Autumn 2025, to align with our planning for Year 4 of the Climate Impacts Awards.</p>
30	Can you provide any detail on learnings or relevant additional detail gained from the Y1 grantee's virtual meeting that took place in December 2024?	We cannot disclose this information at this time.
31	<p><b>Objectives</b> Objective 1: "to get a comprehensive understanding of the landscape of the Climate Impacts Awards in terms of total</p>	Suppliers can propose additional measures with justification.

#	Supplier Question	Wellcome response
	<p>applicants and proposals, and compare these with those that were shortlisted and finally selected for funding”</p> <p>What additional measures, beyond those listed on page 2 of the RfP, does Wellcome consider relevant for comparing applicants?</p> <p>Objective 2: “to evaluate the process of the Climate Impacts Awards to determine whether it is fit for purpose for the intended outcomes”</p> <p>What is meant by “fit for purpose” in this context? How does Wellcome define whether the Climate Impacts scheme and funded awards are reaching their intended outcomes? Is it about showing that the chosen grantees are addressing the scheme’s aims through their projects (e.g., generating evidence that showcases the effects of climate change on physical and mental health, influencing policy, engaging with relevant stakeholders), or is it about demonstrating that the selected grantees are having a greater policy influence than those applicants that were unsuccessful?</p> <p>Objective 2: Is it expected that the impact of individual grants be assessed individually, or is a more portfolio-wide approach acceptable?</p> <p>Objective 4: “to enable Wellcome to identify proposals that could be funded through other mechanisms/funding partners at Wellcome or elsewhere”</p> <p>Does this objective pertain to proposals funded by Wellcome through the Climate Impacts Awards and with potential alignment to other funding mechanisms (e.g., other teams at Wellcome, other funders) or to proposals rejected by the Wellcome Climate Impacts Awards but with untapped</p>	<p>It is about showing that the chosen grantees are addressing the scheme’s aims through their projects; and that the scheme is the right mechanism through which to achieve climate action.</p> <p>Either is acceptable.</p> <p>This can pertain to either of those options.</p>

#	Supplier Question	Wellcome response
	<p>potential and therefore worth funding (e.g., by other teams at Wellcome or other funders)?</p> <p>Objective 5: “to assess the level of impact the funded teams have been able to achieve in their work so far”</p> <p>Does Wellcome have a preferred set of metrics for evaluating the impact of funded teams?</p>	<p>We do not have a preferred set of metrics, we hope to co-develop these with the chosen Supplier.</p>
32	<p><b>Terminology</b></p> <p>Could you explain what is meant by the terms “balance of funding” and “pathway to change”?</p> <p>Could you clarify the distinction between “triaging” and “shortlisting”? Are these stages distinct, and if so, how?</p> <p>Do all rounds of the Climate Impact Awards scheme go through a triaging and shortlisting stage? Who is typically involved in each stage (internal staff vs. external experts)?</p>	<p>Balance of funding refers to how the grant budget is distributed across the key elements of the Climate Impacts Awards. Pathways to change refer to the proposals’ strategies for achieving change.</p> <p>Triaging and shortlisting refer to the same stage of the review process.</p> <p>So far, the rounds of the Climate Impacts Awards have gone through a triage/shortlisting phase and then a committee review. During the first two rounds of the Climate Impacts Awards, the triage/shortlisting review was conducted internally at Wellcome with four external experts serving as methodologists. The Advisory Funding Committee was comprised of external experts.</p>
33	<p><b>Scope</b></p> <p>Is Wellcome interested in a review (impact and process evaluation) of only the first two rounds of the funding scheme along with a plan of how to evaluate future rounds, or a review (impact and process evaluation) of all rounds?</p> <p>Does Wellcome expect the chosen Supplier to compare the process of the Climate Impacts Awards against that of similar funding schemes/calls, or is that out of scope for this RfP?</p>	<p>We are looking for a Supplier to set up a mechanism to evaluate past and future rounds of the Climate Impacts Awards.</p> <p>This is not out of scope.</p>
34	<b>Data Sharing</b>	

#	Supplier Question	Wellcome response
	<p>The RfP mentions that Wellcome will share all applications to the Climate Impacts Awards with the chosen Supplier (see page 5). In what format will these applications be shared? For example, will they be shared in their original form as submitted to Wellcome (i.e., 300+ individual proposals), in a spreadsheet with the key data for all proposals already extracted, or as a combination of the two?</p> <p>On page 5 of the RfP, it is stated that the Supplier will have access to “analysis and insights already done by the Data &amp; Digital team at Wellcome”. What analysis has the team already conducted, and how are the insights currently being used by Wellcome?</p> <p>Will data or insights from the Shortlisting and Advisory Committee Meetings (e.g., meeting minutes, reviewer comments, proposal strengths/weaknesses, reasons for rejection) be shared with the chosen Supplier?</p>	<p>A combination of the two.</p> <p>The Data &amp; Digital team do not have in-depth analysis on this scheme data at the moment - mostly core grant scheme data about applications and awards (institution, geography, EDI data, previously funded etc.) used for scheme monitoring. If needed as part of the project, the Data &amp; Digital team have the tools and capacity to supplement this with additional internal and external data. The Climate &amp; Health team have done some analysis on the different climate pathways and health outcomes of the proposals. These insights have currently been used to inform changes to each year of the scheme.</p> <p>Yes, if requested.</p>
35	<p><b>Innovative Approaches</b> Does the grant encourage experimental or innovative strategies to achieve program objectives?</p>	Yes.
36	<p><b>Eligibility Criteria</b> Can the expertise of key personnel compensate for the organization’s limited direct experience in similar projects?</p>	Yes, please specify in your application.
37	<p><b>Capacity Building</b> Can grant funds be allocated for organizational capacity building to support newer entrants in this field?</p>	No, this is not in scope for this RFP.



#	Supplier Question	Wellcome response
38	Under the impact area, will you be looking for the evaluator to undertake any project level data collection (such as through case studies) or will the impact side of the evaluation rely mostly on secondary data?	You can use both primary and secondary data.
39	At what frequency are prize winners reporting progress?	Wellcome grantees are required to report on their awards on an annual basis using a standard format available on our website (see <a href="#">here</a> ). They are also informally assessed through ad hoc interactions with C&H staff.
40	Are you able to provide more information on the role of the evaluator in the learning cycles – the RFP mentions designing these but is it envisaged that the evaluator would take a role in also facilitating some of the key steps of these (learning events etc.).	Yes this could be a part of the learning cycle, which will be co-developed with the chosen Supplier and Wellcome.
41	Do you have any draft Evaluation Questions, or is this something you'd be looking to co-create during the contract?	This is something we would be looking to co-create during the contract.
42	Is there currently a management system way of visualising either progress or applications? Is this something you would like the supplier to support, or does it sit with the data and digital team?. Also, how often would they expect 'tracking' to be from the evaluator side?	We have management systems and do not expect the Supplier to develop those - though there may be a need to integrate additional data into those systems in support of this project. This can be assessed as needed.
43	Are you open to different models to produce the initial evaluation (i.e., a concentrated 'sprint' ~2-3month effort vs longer, leaner model)?	Yes, we are open to this.
44	<p><b>Engagement</b> What engagement model and frequency would you expect with the supplier while the evaluation is being complete?</p> <p>What engagement model and frequency do you expect with the supplier in between each evaluation (especially in the 2nd and 3rd year)?</p>	<p>The engagement model and frequency will be co-developed between Wellcome and the chosen Supplier. We usually discuss this at the initial kick off meeting subject to agreement.</p> <p>The engagement model and frequency will be co-developed between Wellcome and the chosen Supplier. We usually discuss this at the initial kick off meeting subject to agreement.</p>

#	Supplier Question	Wellcome response
45	<p>Is a field visit to the different projects necessary? And if so, which geographic areas would they be.</p> <p>Is there any specific profile of evaluators required for the correct development of the evaluations?</p> <p>Timeframe for the execution of the evaluations of each project?</p> <p>What type of area or sector do the different projects to be evaluated belong to?</p>	<p>This is not necessary. Please see <a href="#">here</a> the projects that were funded through the 2023 Climate Impacts Awards. The projects funded through the 2024 Climate Impacts Awards will be added soon. Selected Suppliers will get access to team data for each awarded project.</p> <p>There is no specific profile of evaluators.</p> <p>This will be co-developed between Wellcome and the chosen Supplier.</p> <p>Please see <a href="#">here</a> the projects that were funded through the 2023 Climate Impacts Awards. The projects funded through the 2024 Climate Impacts Awards will be added soon. Selected Suppliers will get access to team data for each awarded project.</p>
46	<p>Are learnings from this evaluation intended for internal use, or do you envision outputs suitable for public dissemination or publication? This will help us to define the most appropriate methods for evaluation.</p>	<p>The results are primarily expected to influence the future rounds of the Climate Impacts Awards. However, these learnings will inevitably be of use to inform our wider programmes and partnership with other funders.</p>
47	<p>Do you anticipate the need for language capabilities beyond English for successful project delivery? This will help inform the profile of our team.</p>	<p>The Climate Impacts Awards grantees submitted their proposals in English.</p>
48	<p><b>Grantees</b></p> <p>What is the reporting to date from existing grantees? Has there been any reporting or impact tracking to date of the first cohorts?</p> <p>Are all Climate Impact Award grants 3-year grants, or do they have variable durations?</p> <p>Do you expect the size of the cohorts to remain relatively similar, e.g., 10-15 grantees?</p>	<p>Wellcome grantees are required to report on their awards on an annual basis using a standard format available on our website (see <a href="#">here</a>). We are expecting some projects to submit a report in the first half of 2025 following start dates in early 2024.</p> <p>The Climate Impacts Awards projects differ in duration, but they tend to be around 3 years.</p> <p>We expect this but we do not set limits for how many projects we will fund each year. The total number of projects we fund</p>

#	Supplier Question	Wellcome response
	What is the geographic distribution of current grantees?	<p>through this award will depend on several factors, such as the number and quality of applications received.</p> <p>Please see <a href="#">here</a> the projects that were funded through the 2023 Climate Impacts Awards. The projects funded through the 2024 Climate Impacts Awards will be added soon. Selected Suppliers will get access to team data for each awarded project.</p>
49	Please would Wellcome confirm if you are seeking to evaluate the impacts of the fund on the communities/populations that the grantees support, or the impact at the grant giving level?	The primary purpose would be the impact at the grant giving level. However, limited evaluation of the impact to project potential beneficiaries from the first two years of the Climate Impacts Awards can be included.
49	Please would Wellcome confirm if they are expecting that proposals only include information (and therefore a budget) for the first year of the evaluation only?	No, we are expecting a three-year budget proposal.